

Lake Roesiger Integrated Aquatic Vegetation Management Plan Final Vote Results 11/12/2021



Surface Water
Management

Questions – Email lakes@snoco.org or call 425-388-3204

Survey Outreach Efforts

Snohomish County Efforts:

- Vote posted on website
- Mailers – sent 11/1 to all residents on the lake shoreline and surrounding streets (see map)
- Email – multiple announcements sent to 140 subscribers to Roesiger updates by Snohomish County
- Next Door – 3 posts about vote
- Created and posted FAQ based on questions

Lake Roesiger Community and Boat Club Efforts:


- Email announcements to membership
- Facebook post
- Website updated with link to vote



**Lake Roesiger
Parcels Identified for Postcard Mailers**


Legend

- Parcels that were mailed postcards
- Parcels
- Streets



Snohomish County disclaims any warranty of merchantability or warranty of fitness of this map for any particular purpose, with express or implied. No representation or warranty is made concerning the accuracy, currency, completeness or quality of data depicted on this map. Any user of this map assumes all responsibility for use thereof, and further agrees to hold Snohomish County harmless from and against any damage, loss, or liability arising from any use of this map.

Response rates and locations



- There were 346 total valid votes
- There were 274 Roesiger shoreline votes (middle, north and south)
- Shoreline vote represents approximately 59% of the estimated 464 shoreline parcels

Roesiger Neighborhood = respondents that don't live on the lake shoreline but live near the lake (see previous map)

Lake User = respondents who don't live near Lake Roesiger, but use the lake for recreation

Quality assurance review of survey

354 households responded

36 respondents indicated ownership of more than one parcel (31 with 2; 4 with 3; 1 with 8)


22 responses were identified as duplicate votes (households accidentally cast more than one ballot)

- Only one vote was counted per household
- All households with duplicate votes were notified by email. If votes were different, they were asked which they would like to submit – if no response, the first vote was preserved.

25 respondents that identified as “lake users” did not provide a name and/or address when asked “To ensure there is only one vote cast per household, please enter your name and address.”

- Three who provided email addresses were asked for clarifying information and no responses were received
- Remaining 22 had no contact information to confirm name and/or address
- Any vote without name and/or address were not counted as it could not be confirmed if these were duplicate entries

Lake Roesiger Community and Boat Club Membership



*Does not include those identifying as “Lake User” as they are not eligible to be members

Vote results for each question are presented showing three different groups


1. All respondents
(all valid voters)

2. Lake Roesiger
shoreline &
neighborhood residents
only (no lake users)

3. Lake Roesiger
Community and Boat
Club Members

Question 1 Results - Do you approve the proposed Lake Roesiger Integrated Aquatic Vegetation Management Plan as described in the revised Lake Roesiger IAVMP Executive Summary?


1. All Respondents




| | North Basin | Middle Basin | South Basin | Roesiger Neighborhood | Lake User | Total |
|-------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 107 | 51 | 49 | 11 | 18 | 236 |
| No | 40 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 32 | 110 |
| Total | 147 | 55 | 79 | 15 | 50 | 346 |

Question 1 Results Continued: Do you approve the proposed Lake Roesiger Integrated Aquatic Vegetation Management Plan as described in the revised Lake Roesiger IAVMP Executive Summary?

2. Lake Roesiger shoreline & neighborhood residents



3. Lake Roesiger Community and Boat Club Members




Please provide any additional comments regarding your decision to approve the plan or not approve the plan.

| Comment Theme | Total |
|--|--------------|
| Opposed to chemicals | 44 |
| General support for plan | 23 |
| Middle basin should pay all or higher percentage of cost | 9 |
| Positive feedback on process | 8 |
| Concern with voting process | 6 |
| County, state, and/or lake users should pay for plan | 5 |
| Prefers dredging | 4 |
| Support plan, but prefer no chemicals | 3 |
| Aquatic vegetation beneficial | 2 |
| Other | 12 |

Question 2 Results - Would you support the Lake Roesiger community working with Snohomish County to apply for a grant from the state for the initial plan implementation (\$75,000 over two years and requires a \$25,000 match)


1. All Respondents




| | North Basin | Middle Basin | South Basin | Roesiger Neighborhood | Lake User | Total |
|-------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|
| Yes | 107 | 51 | 49 | 11 | 18 | 236 |
| No | 40 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 32 | 110 |
| Total | 147 | 55 | 79 | 15 | 50 | 346 |

Question 2 Results Continued - Would you support the Lake Roesiger community working with Snohomish County to apply for a grant from the state for the initial plan implementation (\$75,000 over two years and requires a \$25,000 match)

2. Lake Roesiger Shoreline & Neighborhood Residents




3. Lake Roesiger Community and Boat Club Members



Question 3 Results - If the plan is approved, would you support the Lake Roesiger area community paying an annual fee charged on a per parcel basis to implement the final plan?*

1. All Respondents




| | North Basin | Middle Basin | South Basin | Roesiger Neighborhood | Lake User | Grand Total |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|
| More than \$177 | 22 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 54 |
| Between \$135 and \$177 | 65 | 28 | 31 | 5 | 8 | 137 |
| Less than \$135 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 32 |
| No amount | 46 | 4 | 31 | 6 | 36 | 123 |
| Grand Total | 147 | 55 | 79 | 15 | 50 | 346 |


*This is NOT a vote to raise taxes on parcels, but is to provide guidance to the community to pursue this option or not

Question 3 Results Continued – If the plan is approved, would you support the Lake Roesiger area community paying an annual fee charged on a per parcel basis to implement the final plan?*

2. Lake Roesiger Shoreline & Neighborhood Residents



3. Lake Roesiger Community and Boat Club Members



Please provide any additional comments regarding funding for plan implementation

| Comment Theme | Total |
|--|--------------|
| County and/or state should pay | 13 |
| Middle basin should pay all or higher percentage of cost | 6 |
| General support for fee and/or grants | 6 |
| Opposed to chemical use | 5 |
| Concern about duration of fee | 5 |
| I am not a lake owner - do not count my vote on fees | 5 |
| Lake users should pay | 5 |
| Opposed to fee | 3 |
| Would pay more than \$177, but need to know amount | 3 |
| Concern with voting process | 2 |
| Need additional information | 2 |
| Fee would be hardship | 2 |
| Would support if no chemicals | 2 |
| Look for more grant opportunities | 2 |
| Other | 18 |

Outcomes & Next Steps

Outcomes:

The Lake Roesiger Integrated Aquatic Vegetation Management plan has been approved by the community as a majority approves the plan.

Next Steps:

The Community will need to identify funding if they wish to begin implementation and can use survey results to help decide. Potential decision points:

- If County should apply for an implementation grant (Due Dec 15, 2021)
- Identify best method and funding structure to raise community funds to supplement grant and fund beyond grant (commitment from community to pursue funding would be needed for County to apply for grant)

The County will

- Finalize the full plan and submit to the Washington State Department of Ecology completing this grant project
- Coordinate with Roesiger and Community Boat Club on next steps including potentially applying for an implementation grant.

